

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITEO STATES OEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vigguna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING OATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY OOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,261	0/027,261 12/20/2001		Jeffrey E. Fish	KCX-482 (16683)	8928
22827	7590	08/08/2003			
DORITY &		,	EXAMINER		
POST OFFI GREENVIL				NOLAN, SA	ANDRA M
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1772	
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003 $oldsymbol{arepsilon}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A S-A						
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/027,261	FISH ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Sandra M. Nolan	1772						
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ad	dress					
Period fo	• •	DEDLY IS SET TO EVOIDE 4	MONTH(S) EDOM						
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	ION. ER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. b, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/ ommunication.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.							
3)									
Dispositi	on of Claims								
•—	Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the applic								
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	thdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction ar on Papers	nd/or election requirement.							
9) 🗌 1	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
10)□ 1	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection								
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examine	er.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required								
12) <u></u> ⊤	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	 Certified copies of the priority docu 								
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu								
	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for 	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))).	Stage					
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).					
а)	☐ The translation of the foreign languag	ge provisional application has	been received.						
Attachment		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5) ☐ Notice (w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT						

Art Unit: 1772

. . . . ,

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-31, drawn to laminates, classified in class 428, subclass 34.1.
- II. Claims 32-41, drawn to wraps for body treatment, classified in class 607, subclass (unknown).
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a belt for mixing reactive materials to have them handy (e.g., components of dental cement) and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1772

· , · .

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - A. outer surface is film (see claim 4)
 - B. outer surface is a nonwoven web (see claim 5)
 - C. outer substrate is elastomeric (see claim 6).
- 7. If Group I is elected, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1 and 2 are generic.
- 8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1772

•

9. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 11. A telephone call was made to Mr. Jason Johnston (864/271-1592) on 05 August 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 12. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 13. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1772

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra M. Nolan whose telephone number is 703/308-9545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703/308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703/305-5436 for regular communications and 703/305-5436 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0661.

S. M. Nolan Patent Examiner

5. N. Nell

Technology Center 1700

SMN/smn 10027261(6) August 5, 2003